Royal Adelaide Hospital

Monash Health

Transitional urologic care of children with voiding dysfunction: barriers and management strategies

Y. Khanna¹, V. Chinni², A. Couchman³

Monash Health¹ (AUS), Canberra Health Services² (AUS), Royal Adelaide Hospital³ (AUS)

Aims and Methods

- Perform a narrative review of literature pertaining to transitional care (from paediatric to adult urology) of individuals with voiding dysfunction secondary to congenital abnormalities.
- Majority of studies pertained to spina bifida and • bladder exstrophy, hence emphasis placed on these in this review.
- Barriers to transition and methods to facilitate • successful transition were described.

Barriers to Transitional Care

- Rates of successful transition in spina bifida are low
- Szymanski et al found, in their analysis of 77 patients discharged from a multidisciplinary paediatric spina bifida centre, only 40.3% had successful follow-up (defined as any follow-up with a urologist within 2 years post discharge from paediatric centre) (1).

Strategies to Facilitate Successful Transition

- There is growing consensus in the literature for dedicated transitional urology clinics
- Tan et al compared outcomes of 71 patients enrolled in a dedicated transitional spina bifida clinic, and 116 not enrolled. Those enrolled had earlier evaluation by an adult urologist (70.4% below 21 vs 23.3% below 21), and were more likely to perform CIC (78.9% vs 57.8%) (3).



- Eastman found that a short interval between paediatric discharge and adult follow-up (<1 year) was associated with lower rates of UDS abnormality (p = 0.0215). 42% of patients required intervention on adult follow-up, hence delay in adult followup indicates in delay in intervention (4).
- Concerningly, complex patients with significant surgical history i.e. bladder augmentation, MACE or Mitrofanoff also had poor rates of transition with only 48.8% successfully transitioning.
- Documented non-compliance was not a statistically • significant predictor of failed transition in this cohort (p = 0.79).
- Intuitive logistical issues i.e. travel time, distance to • clinic, hospital familiarity not at play – as paediatric and adult clinics were collocated in this cohort, even sharing the same office staff.



- Rates of successful transition in bladder • exstrophy also low
- Haddad et al found in their cohort of 67 bladder/cloacal exstrophy patients, that 24% had unsuccessful transition – defined as no follow-up within 2 years (2).
- Similarly, no significant difference in distance to clinic, sex, insurance status or history of bladder reconstruction was found between those with

- Cox found that urological or neurosurgical outcomes did not impact transitional SB patient or parent satisfaction – rather financial or employment issues were the most common grievance (5).
- Grimsby found that TRAQ (transitional readiness assessment questionnaire) scores were lowest in financial domains in their cohort of paediatric patients with voiding dysfunction (6).
- A biopsychosocial approach with allied health involvement is therefore key

Conclusion

- Rates of successful transition in voiding dysfunction due to congenital issues (i.e. spina bifidia, bladder exstrophy) are low.
- Intuitive factors such as travel time, distance to clinic, hospital familiarity and documented history of non-compliance are NOT predictive of failed transition.
- Management strategies described in the literature include dedicated transition clinics, short interval between paediatric to adult follow-up, and biopsychosocial focus with allied health involvement.

successful vs failed transition.

References

Szymanski KM, Cain MP, Hardacker TJ, Misseri R. How successful is the transition to adult urology care in spina bifida? A single center 7-year experience. Journal 1. of Pediatric Urology. 2017;13(1):40.e1-.e6.

Haddad E, Sancaktutar AA, Palmer BW, Aston C, Kropp BP. Who, where, and why are patients lost to follow-up? A 20-year study of bladder exstrophy patients at 2. a single institution. Journal of Pediatric Urology. 2018;14(3):276.e1-.e6.

Tan J, Hopson BD, Li P, Lloyd LK, Joseph DB, Dangle PP, et al. Urologic outcomes in Spina Bifida patients undergoing transitional care. Journal of Pediatric 3. Rehabilitation Medicine. 2017;10(Supplement 1):S35.

Eastman J, Harris CJ, Howard CM, Lemack GE. The adult Spina bifida patient: Does a delay in referral impact urodynamic findings and clinical outcomes? 4. recommendations for transition of care. Neurourology and Urodynamics. 2017;36(Supplement 1):S72-S3.

Cox A, Breau L, Connor L, McNeely PD, Anderson PA, MacLellan DL. Transition of Care to an Adult Spina Bifida Clinic: Patient Perspectives and Medical 5. Outcomes. The Journal of Urology. 2011;186(4, Supplement):1590-4.

Grimsby GM, Burgess R, Culver S, Schlomer BJ, Jacobs MA. Barriers to transition in young adults with neurogenic bladder. J Pediatr Urol. 2016;12(4):258.e1-5. 6.