

uOttawa

Lidocaine solution versus lidocaine gel instillation for pain management during intravesical botulinum

James Ross ^{MD}, Cristina Negrean ^{MD}, Humberto Vigil ^{MD}, Conrad Maciejewski ^{MD}, Duane Hickling ^{MD} Department of Surgery, Division of Urology, University of Ottawa.

ABSTRACT

Intravesical botulinum (BoNT) toxin is a safe and effective treatment option for patients with refractory overactive bladder and neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction (1, 2). Pre-procedural instillation of lidocaine solution is standard practice but does require significant time.

The objectives were to compare pain scores using Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) following BoNT using intravesical lidocaine instillations and lidocaine gel vs lidocaine gel alone. Subgroups analysis was performed based on sex, indication for treatment, and first vs subsequent treatment. Post-procedural complications and treatment failure were documented.

A total of 80 patients were included (mean age 61 years, 75% female, 56% with overactive bladder, and 30% receiving first treatment). There was no significant difference in pain scores between groups: Group 1 median VAS 3.0 (Q1 2.5, Q3 5.0) vs Group 2 median VAS 4.0 (Q1 3.0, Q3 5.0); p = 0.11. Post-procedural complications were low in both groups. Treatment failure did not occur in any patients in either group. Lidocaine gel alone may be an acceptable analgesia alternative to intravesical lidocaine solution instillation while improving efficiency and availability of treatment.

RESULTS

A total of 80 patients were included (mean age 61 years, 75% female, 56% with overactive bladder, and 30% receiving first treatment). There were no significant differences in baseline characteristics between treatment groups. There was no significant difference in pain scores between groups: Group 1 median VAS 3.0 (Q1 2.5, Q3 5.0) vs Group 2 median VAS 4.0 (Q1 3.0, Q3 5.0); p = 0.11. Furthermore, there were no significant differences in pain scores between groups based on sex, indication for treatment, or whether patients were receiving their first or subsequent BoNT treatments (p>0.05). Post-procedural complications were low in both groups. Treatment failure did not occur in any patients in either group.

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of patients undergoingintravesical botulinum toxin under local cystoscopy stratified bytype of anesthesia received: intravesical lidocaine solution orlidocaine gel.

Variahle

Solution (n = 30) Cel (n = 41)



The VAS was used to measure patient's pain. Treatment failure was defined as patient requesting to stop or to perform future treatments under sedation. Patient demographics, post-procedural complications, and treatment failures were collected by chart review. The Mann-Whitney U Test was used to compare pain scores.

Sample size was calculated at least 34 in each group by assuming difference in medians of 1.5, standard deviation of 2, alpha of 0.05 and beta 0.80.

62.2 (16.0)	59.9 (17.2)
30 (76.9)	30 (73.2)
9 (23.1)	11 (26.8)
23 (59.0)	22 (53.7)
15 (38.5)	18 (43.9)
1 (2.5)	1 (2.4)
27 (69.2)	29 (70.7)
12 (30.8)	12 (29.3)
	62.2 (16.0) 30 (76.9) 9 (23.1) 23 (59.0) 15 (38.5) 1 (2.5) 27 (69.2) 12 (30.8)

Table 2. A comparison of pain scores for patients receivingintravesical lidocaine solution or lidocaine gel.

Pain Variables median (Q1, Q3)	Solution (n – 39)	Gel (n = 41)
Overall Pain Score	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	4.0 (3.0, 5.0)*
Sex		
Female	4.0 (2.0, 5.0)	4.5 (3.0, 5.0)*
Male	3.0 (3.0, 4.0)	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)*
Indication		
OAB	4.0 (3.0, 6.0)	4.5 (3.0, 5.0)*
NLUTS	3.0 (1.0, 4.0)	4.0 (2.0, 5.0)*
Other	NA	NA
Previous Treatment		
Yes	4.0 (2.0, 5.0)	5.0 (3.0, 5.0)*
No	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	4.0 (2.0, 4.5)*
* All p-values > 0.05.		

Lidocaine gel alone may be an acceptable analgesia alternative to intravesical lidocaine solution instillation while providing similar pain relief and improving treatment availability.

REFERENCES

- 1. Denys P, Le Normand L, Ghout I, Costa P, Chartier-Kastler E, Grise P, Hermieu JF, Amarenco G, Karsenty G, Saussine C, Barbot F; VESITOX study group in France. Efficacy and safety of low doses of onabotulinumtoxinA for the treatment of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled dose-ranging study. Eur Urol. 2012 Mar;61(3):520-9. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2011.10.028. Epub 2011 Oct 25. PMID: 22036776.
- Cruz F, Herschorn S, Aliotta P, Brin M, Thompson C, Lam W, Daniell G, Heesakkers J, Haag-Molkenteller C. Efficacy and safety of onabotulinumtoxinA in patients with urinary incontinence due to neurogenic detrusor overactivity: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Eur Urol. 2011 Oct;60(4):742-50. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2011.07.002. Epub 2011 Jul 13. PMID: 21798658.
- 3. Ross, J., Hickling, D., Maciejewski, C., Coriaty, R., & Vigil, H. (2022). Intravesical botulinum toxin: Practice patterns from a survey of Canadian urologists. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 17(1), E15–22. https://doi.org/10.5489/cuaj.7886