pelvic floor disorders Network

THE URINARY MICROBIOME IN WOMEN WITH MIXED URINARY INCONTINENCE

Holly E. Richter PhD. MD, Yuko M. Komesu MD, Nazema Y. Siddiqui MD MHS, Emily S. Lukacz MD, Vivian W. Sung MD, Halina M. Zyczynski MD, Beri Ridgeway MD, Lily A. Arya MD, Rebecca G. Rogers MD, Benjamin Carper MS, Darrell L. Dinwiddie PhD, Donna Mazloomdoost MD, Marie G. Gantz PhD for the Pelvic Floor Disorders Network

INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVE

Mixed urinary incontinence (MUI), involuntary leakage of urine associated with both significant urgency difficult to defer and stress provocations such as coughing, laughing, and sneezing, significantly impacts quality of life. MUI pathophysiology is the least understood, and therapies are the least targeted, with treatment of stress symptoms potentially exacerbating urgency UI, while treatment of urgency UI symptoms potentially exacerbate stress UI symptoms. (Minassian, 2017) In the search for underlying causes of incontinence, the female urinary microbiome has emerged In the search for underlying causes of incontinence, the female urinary microbiome has emerged as a possible contributor to MUI symptoms. We present results from a supplementary study of the parent study, Effects of Surgical Treatment Enhanced with Exercise for Mixed Urinary Incontinence (ESTEEM) trial which recruited women with MUI scheduled to undergo a midurethral sling with perioperative behavioral/pelvic floor exercise versus sling alone (NCT01995347). The objective of this study was to characterize the urinary microbiome in women with MUI compared to asymptomatic age-matched controls. The primary aim examined the difference in *Lactobacillus* predominance between MUI and controls; the secondary aim compared the bacterial taxa in MUI and controls. The hypothesis was that these groups differed by *Lactobacillus* predominance and hy uther bacterial communities between prouse v Incontinence predominance and by other bacterial communities between groups

METHODS

The methodology for this study (inclusion/exclusion criteria, participant flow, laboratory methods and the analysis plan) has been previously published. (Komesu, 2017) Briefly, this case-control study recruited MUI participants from a parent study conducted at 8 US sites who had ≥ moderate bother on the Urinary Distress Inventory for both stress UI (SUI) and urgency UI (UUI). Controls had no significant urinary incontinence symptoms.

Two hundred and ten women (126 MUI/84 Controls) were required to distinguish MUI and Control urinary microbiomes. DNA was extracted from catheterized urine, regions v4-6 of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene were amplified by polymerase chain reaction and sequenced. Urinary taxa were evaluated at the genus level and categorized into community types using Dirichlet multinomial mixture (DMM) methods (Holmes, 2012). The study defined predominance as a sample in which a specific genus constituted > 50% of an individual's taxonomic community. Univariate and multivariable analyses identified differences between MUI and Control groups. Significance was set at P<.05.

RESULTS

Mean age of all 207 subjects for whom microbiome analysis was successful (123 MUI, 84 controls) was 53±11 years. Compared to controls MUI women had a greater Body Mass Index (BMI), were more commonly Hispanic, had a history of recurrent UTIs and used vaginal estrogen (Table 1). DMM identified 6 community types with significant differences in proportion of taxa between communities (P=.032) (Figure). These communities differed by age (P=.001) and smoking history (P<.001) (Table 2) and consisted of a *High-Lactobacillus* (*HLac*) community (≥80% Lactobacillus) which had the largest proportion of Controls (Controls 63.3%, MUI 36.7%), a *Moderate-*Lactobacillus (MLac) community, and a Mixed community (Figure 1). The HLac community (see Figure, Community 1) served as the comparator for multivariable analyses. Multivariable analyses of all women (Table 3) revealed BMI (aOR 1.09, 95% Cl, 1.04-1.15) and specific DMM communities were associated with MUI. Compared to the HLac community, the MLac and Mixed communities were more strongly associated with MUI, aOR 3.51 (95% CI, 1.29-9.59) and aOR 2.99 (95% CI, 1.06-8.47), respectively. Due to DIMM community differences in age (Table 2), separate multivariable analyses were

performed for age <51 (pre-menopausal) and ≥51 (post-menopausal) years. In women <51 years, BMI (aOR 1.09, 95% CI, 1.02-1.17) and specific DMM communities were again associated with MUI (Table 4). Specifically compared to the *HIac* community, the *MLac* and *Mixed* communities were associated with MUI, aOR 8.46, 95% CI, 1.89-37.77 and aOR 7.48, 95% CI, 1.32-42.47, respectively. In women ≥51 years, BMI (aOR 1.11, 95% CI 1.03-1.20) was associated with MUI but DMM communities were not.

Table 1. Bivariate Comparison of MUI/Control Groups – All Women

		Study G		
Mastal Is	0.4	MUI	Control	
Variable	Category	(N = 123)	(N = 84)	p-value
Divini Community - N (%)	Community 2	34 (27.6)	19 (22.6)	0.0315
	Community 2	34 (27.0)	16 (19.0)	
	Community 4	17 (13.8)	13 (15.5)	
	Community 5	6 (4.9)	4 (4.8)	
	Community 6	39 (31.7)	16 (19.0)	
Age - Mean (SD)		53.0 (10.8)	53.0 (11.7)	0.9834
BMI - Mean (SD)		32.7 (7.1)	28.4 (6.6)	<.0001 *
Race - N (%)	American Indian or Alaska Native	2 (1.6)	1 (1.2)	0.7968
	Asian	1 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	0.4074
	Black or African American	16 (13.0)	19 (22.6)	0.0701
	White	91 (74.0)	64 (76.2)	0.7192
	Other	14 (11.4)	2 (2.4)	0.0173 *
Ethnicity - N (%)	Hispanic or Latina	28 (22.8)	6 (7.1)	0.0108
	Not Hispanic or Not Latina	93 (75.6)	77 (91.7)	
	Unknown	2 (1.6)	1 (1.2)	
Primary Language - N (%)	English	112 (91.1)	83 (98.8)	0.0096 *
	Spanish	11 (8.9)	0 (0.0)	
	Other	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)	
Currently smoking - N (%)		15 (12.2)	6 (7.1)	0.2371
Smoking status - N (%)	Never smoked	71 (57.7)	55 (65.5)	0.2617
	History of smoking	52 (42.3)	29 (34.5)	
3 or more UTI in past year - N (%)		12 (9.8)	0 (0.0)	0.0032 *
Positive SUI diagnosis in past year - N (%)		87 (70.7)	3 (3.6)	<.0001 *
Ever Pregnant - N (%)		116 (94.3)	73 (86.9)	0.0634
Menstrual Status - N (%)	Pre-menopausal	36 (29.3)	25 (29.8)	0.8302
. ,	Post-menopausal	64 (52.0)	46 (54.8)	
	Not sure	23 (18.7)	13 (15.5)	
Estrogen by prescription -	Oral	10 (8.1)	6 (7.1)	0.7940
N (%)	Skin Patch	5 (4.1)	4 (4.8)	0.8092
	Vaginal Cream/Tablets	21 (17.1)	3 (3.6)	0.0029 *

* Comparison significant at 0.05 level of significance



Figure. Dirichlet Multinomial Mixture (DMM) Microbiota Communities 1-6 Columns: Community Number/Labels. MUI, C=Controls. Bacterial Genus: Color-coded on right

			DMM Community					
Variable	Category	Cmty 1 (N = 30)	Cmty 2 (N = 50)	Cmty 3 (N = 32)	Cmty 4 (N = 30)	Cmty 5 (N = 10)	Cmty 6 (N = 55)	P valu
Study Group - N (%)	MUI Control	11 (36.7) 19 (63.3)	34 (68.0) 16 (32.0)	16 (50.0) 16 (50.0)	17 (56.7) 13 (43.3)	6 (60.0) 4 (40.0)	39 (70.9) 16 (29.1)	0.031
Age - Mean (SD)		49.8 (9.4)	56.8 (11.7)	55.5 (12.0)	55.3 (12.7)	51.8 (9.3)	48.8 (8.8)	0.001
BMI - Mean (SD)		29.7 (6.1)	30.9 (7.5)	29.6 (6.5)	29.3 (7.3)	31.2 (7.1)	33.3 (7.4)	0.07
Race - N (%)	American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African	0 (0.0) 1 (3.3)	1 (2.0) 0 (0.0)	1 (3.1) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	1 (1.8) 0 (0.0)	0.87 0.31
	American White Other	6 (20.0) 23 (76.7) 0 (0.0)	9 (18.0) 33 (66.0) 7 (14.0)	5 (15.6) 25 (78.1) 3 (9.4)	4 (13.3) 23 (76.7) 3 (10.0)	1 (10.0) 8 (80.0) 1 (10.0)	10 (18.2) 43 (78.2) 2 (3.6)	0.96 0.72 0.22
Ethnicity - N (%)	Hispanic or Latina Not Hispanic or	2 (6.7)	12 (24.0)	4 (12.5)	6 (20.0)	2 (20.0)	8 (14.5)	0.70
	Not Latina Unknown	28 (93.3) 0 (0.0)	37 (74.0) 1 (2.0)	28 (87.5) 0 (0.0)	23 (76.7) 1 (3.3)	8 (80.0) 0 (0.0)	46 (83.6) 1 (1.8)	
Primary Language - N (%)	English Spanish Other	30 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	44 (88.0) 6 (12.0) 0 (0.0)	31 (96.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (3.1)	28 (93.3) 2 (6.7) 0 (0.0)	10 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	52 (94.5) 3 (5.5) 0 (0.0)	0.17
Currently smoking - N (%)		3 (10.0)	1 (2.0)	1 (3.1)	3 (10.0)	3 (30.0)	10 (18.2)	0.018
Smoking status - N (%)	Never smoked Quit smoking <6	15 (50.0)	30 (60.0)	25 (78.1)	24 (80.0)	4 (40.0)	28 (50.9)	<.000
	mo. Quit smoking >6	1 (3.3)	1 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	
	mo. Currently	11 (36.7)	18 (36.0)	6 (18.8)	3 (10.0)	1 (10.0)	17 (30.9)	
3 or more LITL in	smoking	3 (10.0)	1 (2.0)	1 (3.1)	3 (10.0)	3 (30.0)	10 (18.2)	
past year - N (%)		1 (3.3)	5 (10.0)	1 (3.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (9.1)	0.3
Ever Pregnant - N (%)		26 (86.7)	48 (96.0)	28 (87.5)	26 (86.7)	9 (90.0)	52 (94.5)	0.5
Menstrual Status - N (%)	Pre-menopausal Post-menopausal Not sure	10 (33.3) 13 (43.3) 7 (23.3)	10 (20.0) 34 (68.0) 6 (12.0)	8 (25.0) 20 (62.5) 4 (12.5)	7 (23.3) 19 (63.3) 4 (13.3)	2 (20.0) 5 (50.0) 3 (30.0)	24 (43.6) 19 (34.5) 12 (21.8)	0.0
Estrogen by prescription - N (%)	Oral Skin Patch Vaginal	2 (6.7) 1 (3.3)	4 (8.0) 2 (4.0)	4 (12.5) 2 (6.3)	1 (3.3) 2 (6.7)	0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	5 (9.1) 2 (3.6)	0.7 0.9
	Cream/Tablets	4 (13.3) 23 (76.7)	9 (18.0) 36 (72.0)	2 (6.3) 23 (71.9)	3 (10.0) 23 (76.7)	1 (10.0)	5 (9.1)	0.6

Table 3. Multivariable Analysis of MUI/Control Groups - All Women

Model Term	P-value for Effect	Comparison	Estimated Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value for Comparison	
Age	0.5554	Age	1.01	(0.97, 1.05)	0.5554	
BMI	0.0004 *	BMI	1.09	(1.04, 1.15)	0.0004 *	
DMM Community	0.2011	DMM Community 2 vs. DMM Community 1	2.99	(1.06, 8.47)	0.0388 *	
		DMM Community 3 vs. DMM Community 1	1.73	(0.57, 5.26)	0.3342	
		DMM Community 4 vs. DMM Community 1	2.15	(0.69, 6.75)	0.1884	
		DMM Community 5 vs. DMM Community 1	2.19	(0.46, 10.43)	0.3228	
		DMM Community 6 vs. DMM Community 1	3.51	(1.29, 9.59)	0.0144 *	
Smoking status	0.7544	Never Smoked vs. Ever Smoked	1.11	(0.57, 2.18)	0.7544	
Ethnicity	0.0131 *	Hispanic/Latina vs. Not Hispanic/Latina	3.59	(1.31, 9.83)	0.0131 *	
Menstrual status	0.8877	Menopause: Pre vs. Post	1.24	(0.47, 3.26)	0.6669	
		Menopause: Pre vs. Not Sure	1.23	(0.46, 3.28)	0.6809	
		Menopause: Post vs. Not Sure	1.00	(0.37, 2.66)	0.9886	
I lakaowa athaicity combined with Nat Hispanic/Lating						

* Comparison significant at 0.05 level of significance

Table 4. Multivariable Analysis of MUI/Control Groups - Women <51 Years of Age

Model Term	P-value for Effect	Comparison	Estimated Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value for Comparison
Age	0.6775	Age	0.98	(0.89, 1.08)	0.6775
BMI	0.0146 *	BMI	1.09	(1.02, 1.17)	0.0146 *
DMM Community	0.0428 *	DMM Community 2 vs. DMM Community 1	7.48	(1.32, 42.47)	0.0237 *
		DMM Community 3 vs. DMM Community 1	1.90	(0.32, 11.17)	0.4744
		DMM Community 4 vs. DMM Community 1	1.33	(0.21, 8.36)	0.7576
		DMM Community 5 vs. DMM Community 1	5.95	(0.45, 78.18)	0.1724
		DMM Community 6 vs. DMM Community 1	8.46	(1.89, 37.77)	0.0057 *
Smoking status	0.4456	Never Smoked vs. Ever Smoked	1.51	(0.52, 4.44)	0.4456
Ethnicity ^a	0.0869	Hispanic/Latina vs. Not Hispanic/Latina	3.51	(0.83, 14.79)	0.0869
Menstrual	0.7847	Menopause: Pre vs. Post	1.08	(0.23, 5.02)	0.9244
รเสเนร์		Menopause: Pre vs. Not Sure	1.53	(0.44, 5.60)	0.5198
		Menopause: Post vs. Not Sure	1.42	(0.34, 5.97)	0.6305

^a Unknown ethnicity combined with Not Hispanic/Latina * Comparison significant at 0.05 level of significance

CONCLUSION

Differences were identified in the urinary microbiome of the MUI group compared to the asymptomatic control group among pre-menopausal but not post-menopausal women. This difference in the pre- and post-menopausal MUI microbiome may contribute to the phenotypic differences in treatment response and disease severity in these 2 populations. Future work may further characterize age-dependent differences in the MUI microbiome.

References

Minassian VA, Bazi T, Stewart WF. Clinical epidemiological insights into urinary incontinence. Int Urogynecol J. 2017 May;28(5):687-696.

S. 2017 May, 2013, 307-050. Komesu YM, Richter HE, Dinwiddie DL, Siddiqui NY, Sung VW, Lukacz ES, Ridgeway B, Arya LA, Zyczynski HM, Rogers RG, Gantz M. Methodology for a vaginal and urinary microbiome study in women with mixed

How Rogers RC, State M. Hierboords for a vaginal and hina y incroation is study in worker urinary incroatinence. Int Urogynecol J. 2017 May;28(5):711-720 Holmes I, Harris K, Quince C. Dirichlet multinomial mixtures: generative models for microbial metagenomics. PLoS ONE, 2012; 7 (2):e30126. Doi:10:1371/journal.pone.0030126.

Supported by grants from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the NIH Office of Research on Women's Health at National Institutes of Health 3316 (U10 HD041261, U10 HD069013, U10 HD05414, U10 HD054215, U10 HD041267, U10 HD054241, U10 HD069025, U10 HD069006, U10 HD069031, U10 HD054136, U10 HD069010).

