

URETHRAL UROTHELIAL DYSFUNCTION AND ALTERED EPITHELIAL FUNCTIONAL PROTEINS AND ALPHA-ADRENOCEPTOR IN MALE PATIENTS WITH BLADDER NECK DYSFUNCTION AND BPH

Hypothesis / aims of study

Urethral function plays an increasing role in many lower urinary tract diseases, which was overlooked before. The cause of bladder neck dysfunction (BND) has not been clarified clearly, and functional bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) or neurologic theories have been suggested. In both urethra and bladder, urothelium serves not only a physical barrier but also a sensor and transducer of signaling. We speculated that urothelial dysfunctions and altered expressions of epithelial functional proteins might play important roles in the pathogenesis of BND and BPH.

Study design, materials and methods

Male patients with BOO necessary for the surgical treatment, including 10 BND and 12 BPH patients were collected as well as 3 controls (bladder tumor). Strips of urethra tissue from bladder neck to verumontanum were resected between 5 to 7 o'clock of urethra during the operations of transurethral resection of bladder neck, prostate, or bladder tumor. Resected urethral tissue was divided into Zone 1 (intravesical part of bladder neck), Zone 2 (urethral part of bladder neck), and Zone 3 (prostatic urethra) for analysis. Immunofluorescence staining of E-cadherin and zona occludens-1 (ZO-1) and Western blotting analysis of α 1 adrenoreceptor and β 3 adrenoreceptor of the urethra specimen were performed.

Results

BND patients had a significant smaller size of prostate than BPH patients (Table 1). In immunofluorescence staining of either E-cadherin or ZO-1, there was no significant difference among these groups of patients. In BND patients, Zone 1 had a significant lower expression of E-cadherin than Zone 3 ($p=0.050$), and similar condition was also found in BPH patients ($p=0.034$). In Western blotting analysis, BND patients had non-significantly increased expressions of α 1 adrenoreceptor in each part of urethra versus BPH patients or controls. In both BND and BPH patients, their Zone 1 and Zone 2 had significantly lower expressions of β 3 adrenoreceptor than the respective parts in controls. There was no significant difference of either α 1 adrenoreceptor or β 3 adrenoreceptor among different parts of urethra within each subgroup patients.

Interpretation of results

BND patients had a non-significantly increased (probably due to small case number) expression of α 1 adrenoreceptor in urethra versus BPH patients or controls, which indicated the functional obstruction theory by the contraction role of α 1 adrenoreceptor other than the anatomic obstruction theory by prostate compression in some BPH patients. The role of β 3 adrenoreceptor in urinary bladder involves in decreased bladder afferent firing and relaxation, but that in urethra is not clear. In the present study, both BND and BPH patients had significantly lower expressions of β 3 adrenoreceptor in bladder neck than controls. It suggested the role of β 3 adrenoreceptor in urethral relaxation and its dysfunction might contribute to voiding dysfunction and/ or BOO, in which high intravesical/ voiding pressure resulted in the bladder/ urethra urothelial dysfunction (lower expression of E-cadherin in the intravesical part of BN in comparison with prostatic urethra). In summary, the bladder neck of BOO men had a distinct pattern of lower expression of β 3 adrenoreceptor and E-cadherin than controls, suggesting its importance.

Concluding message

Altered expressions of adrenoreceptors with urethral dysfunction may have an important role in the pathophysiology of male BOO, especially in patients with BND/ small prostate size. The bladder neck of BOO men had a distinct pattern of urothelial dysfunction (lower E-cadherin) and altered adrenoreceptor expressions (lower β 3 adrenoreceptor) than controls, suggesting its importance different from the other parts of urethra.

Table 1. Demographics and the expressions of epithelial functional proteins and alpha-adrenoceptor in BND, BPH patients and control

| | BND | BPH | Control | P value [#] |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Number | 10 | 12 | 3 | |
| Age | 69.0 ± 10.0 | 71.6 ± 11.0 | 72.7 ± 10.8 | 0.575 |
| TPV (mL) | 22.88 ± 4.10 | 67.15 ± 35.3 | | 0.003 |
| TZI | 0.31 ± 0.08 | 0.45 0.14 | | 0.067 |
| Immunofluorescence straining | | | | |
| E-cadherin | | | | |
| Zone 1 | 4.603 ± 8.252 | 3.837 ± 5.164 | 4.135 ± 0.437 | 0.793 |
| Zone 2 | 3.208 ± 5.665 | 7.770 ± 9.433 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | 0.196 |
| Zone 3 | 7.608 ± 11.390 | 5.684 ± 4.696* | 0.000 ± 0.000 | 0.598 |
| ZO-1 | | | | |
| Zone 1 | 12.261 ± 6.107 | 15.115 ± 5.123 | 11.472 ± 1.422 | 0.247 |
| Zone 2 | 11.057 ± 9.646 | 14.437 ± 6.113 | 16.957 ± 7.954 | 0.330 |
| Zone 3 | 12.092 ± 5.648* | 14.817 ± 7.694 | 20.723 ± 7.257 | 0.364 |
| Western blotting analysis | | | | |
| Number | 4 | 9 | 3 | |
| α1 adrenoceptor | | | | |
| Zone 1 | 1.768 ± 0.974 | 1.117 ± 0.447 | 1.290 ± 0.437 | 0.116 |
| Zone 2 | 1.628 ± 0.272 | 1.139 ± 0.497 | 1.470 ± 0.295 | 0.096 |
| Zone 3 | 2.750 ± 2.366 | 1.407 ± 0.648 | 1.453 ± 0.633 | 0.127 |
| β3 adrenoceptor | | | | |
| Zone 1 | 0.448 ± 0.235* | 0.677 ± 0.391* | 1.103 ± 0.483 | 0.331 |
| Zone 2 | 0.528 ± 0.206* | 0.678 ± 0.338* | 1.130 ± 0.410 | 0.651 |
| Zone 3 | 0.465 ± 0.240 | 0.569 ± 0.306 | 0.477 ± 0.439 | 0.313 |

TPV: total prostatic volume; TZI: transitional zone index

Zone 1: Intra-vesical (trigone) part of bladder neck

Zone 2: Urethra part of bladder neck

Zone 3: Prostatic urethra

[#]: P value between BND and BPH

*: P value < 0.05 versus control.

Disclosures

Funding: none **Clinical Trial:** Yes **Public Registry:** No **RCT:** No **Subjects:** HUMAN **Ethics Committee:** Research Ethics Committee, Hualien Tzu Chi Hospital, Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation **Helsinki:** Yes **Informed Consent:** Yes